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## Wisconsin Counties Association

## Debt Issuance Considerations and Strategies

## PMA Services Overview

## PMA COMPANIES

## MUNICIPAL ADVISORY SERVICES

## INVESTMENT PRODUCTS \& SERVICES

- Long-Term Financial Planning
- Debt Transaction Management
- Continuing Disclosure Services
- Economic Development (TID)
- Enterprise Cash Flow Modeling
- Referendum Assistance
- Policy Development
- Pooled Investment Solutions (WISC)

Competitively Bid CDs / Securities
Bond Proceeds Management (BPM)
Arbitrage Rebate

- Cash Flow Management
- Separately Managed Accounts
- OPEB Investment Management


## Today's Presentation

Presenter

$\square$ Brian Della joined PMA in 2018
$\square$ Over 18 years of municipal advisory experience to Wisconsin local governments
$\square$ Has advised on 159 Wisconsin county debt issues totaling over \$2.7 billion
$\square$ Bachelor \& Master degrees in Civil Engineering from UW-Madison (PE license)
$\square$ MBA from Indiana University (Chartered Financial Analyst "CFA" designation)

## Outline

I. Financial Planning Examples
II. Best Practices for Selling Bonds
III. Who Do You Want to Receive the $0.20 \%$ ?
IV. What are Anticipation Notes
V. Summary

Financial Planning Examples

## County Property Tax Levy / Levy Limits

A county's property tax levy can be thought of as Operating Levy and Debt Service Levy.

| Operating Levy |  | Debt Service Levy |  |  | Total Levy |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subject to Levy Limits | Not Subject to Levy Limits | Existing Debt Service | Plus: <br> Future Debt Service | Less: <br> Offsetting Revenues | Levy (\$) | Levy Rate (\$ per \$1,000) |

- General Fund - Library
- Human Services - Bridge Aid
- Highway
- Special Rev.
- Other

State statutes (Levy Limits) generally limit the percent growth of the Operating Levy to the percentage of Net New Construction.

No limits exist for general obligation debt service and certain Operating levies (e.g., Library).

These Levy Limits have forced counties to finance an ever-increasing share of there capital with general obligation debt.

## Representative Medium Size WbCounty Percentages



## Annual Long-Term Capital Planning Process

The capital planning process should be iterative.
$\square$ Prioritize projects
$\square \quad$ Create a desired schedule (5-yr typical)
$\square$ Estimate funding sources (how much debt?)
$\square$ Work with your Municipal Advisor on customized debt service structuring options

Are the projected impacts acceptable?
$\square$ Debt Service Property Tax Levy


## Three Common County Debt Issuance Scenarios

## 10-year Annual Borrowings

Existing levy supported general obligation debt service is gently downwards sloping
$\square$ Desire to keep aggregate levy supported debt service near current levels
$\square$ Plan calls for borrowing 10-year notes on an annual basis for projects

## 1-vear Annual Borrowings

Existing levy supported general obligation debt service has a sharp drop
$\square$ Desires to keep aggregate levy supported debt service near current levels
$\square$ Plan calls for borrowing 1-year notes on an annual basis for general projects

## Multiple 20-year Borrowings

Little existing debt
$\square$ Very large building project
$\square$ Phased borrowing approach will allow:
$\square$ Gradual increases to debt service levy
$\square$ Increases likelihood of being able to retain positive spread on investments

## 10-year Annual Borrowings (chart - existing)

$\square$ Existing levy supported general obligation debt service is gently downwards sloping


## 10-year Annual Borrowings (table - projection)

$\square$ Annual capital needs going forward are estimated to average approximately $\$ 5,000,000$

|  | Debt Service Levy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget / Calendar Year | Exist Levy Sup Debt S <br> Principal | ting ported ervice <br> Interest | 2025 N 5,000,000 09/01/24 $3.50 \%$ Principal | otes <br> Par <br> Dated <br> Rate <br> Interest | 2026 N <br> 5,000,000 <br> 09/01/24 <br> $3.50 \%$ <br>  <br> Principal | lotes <br> Par <br> Dated <br> Rate <br> Interest | 2027 N 5,000,000 09/01/24 $3.50 \%$ Principal | otes <br> Par <br> Dated <br> Rate <br> Interest | 2028 N 5,000,000 09/01/24 $3.50 \%$ Principal | otes <br> Par Dated <br> Rate <br> Interest | 2029 N 5,000,000 09/01/24 $3.50 \%$ Principal | otes <br> Par <br> Dated <br> Rate <br> Interest | 2030 N <br> $5,000,000$ <br> $09 / 01 / 24$ <br> $3.50 \%$ <br>  <br> Principal | otes <br> Par <br> Dated <br> Rate <br> Interest | 2031 N <br> 5,000,000 <br> 09/01/24 <br> $3.50 \%$ <br>  <br> Principal | otes <br> Par <br> Dated <br> Rate <br> Interest | $\begin{gathered} 2032 \text { A } \\ 5,000,000 \\ 09 / 01 / 24 \\ 3.50 \% \end{gathered}$ <br> Principal | otes <br> Par <br> Dated <br> Rate <br> Interest | 2033 $5,000,000$ $09 / 01 / 24$ $3.50 \%$ Principal | Notes <br> Par Dated <br> Rate <br> Interest | Plus: <br> Fiscal <br> Charges | Gross <br> Debt <br> Service <br> \& Fiscal |
| 2018 | 4,475,000 | 1,604,019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7,850 | 6,086,869 |
| 2019 | 4,770,000 | 1,542,603 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,771 | 6,319,374 |
| 2020 | 5,140,000 | 1,573,714 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7,749 | 6,721,463 |
| 2021 | 5,575,000 | 1,443,905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8,552 | 7,027,457 |
| 2022 | 5,840,000 | 1,240,396 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,500 | 7,090,896 |
| 2023 | 6,090,000 | 1,099,118 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 10,251 | 7,199,369 |
| 2024 | 5,705,000 | 940,845 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | 7,606 | 6,653,451 |
| 2025 | 5,595,000 | 802,985 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | -- |  |  |  |  | 7,706 | 6,405,691 |
| 2026 | 5,150,000 | 667,995 | 495,000 | 175,000 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |  | 7,806 | 6,495,801 |
| 2027 | 4,685,000 | 564,693 | 480,000 | 157,675 | 425,000 | 175,000 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 7,906 | 6,495,274 |
| 2028 | 4,500,000 | 467,466 | 320,000 | 140,875 | 300,000 | 160,125 | 425,000 | 175,000 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | - | -- | 8,006 | 6,496,472 |
| 2029 | 3,760,000 | 358,656 | 470,000 | 129,675 | 450,000 | 149,625 | 440,000 | 160,125 | 425,000 | 175,000 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 8,106 | 6,526,187 |
| 2030 | 3,265,000 | 277,731 | 490,000 | 113,225 | 465,000 | 133,875 | 455,000 | 144,725 | 440,000 | 160,125 | 425,000 | 175,000 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 8,206 | 6,552,887 |
| 2031 | 2,740,000 | 209,431 | 505,000 | 96,075 | 485,000 | 117,600 | 470,000 | 128,800 | 455,000 | 144,725 | 440,000 | 160,125 | 425,000 | 175,000 | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | 8,306 | 6,560,062 |
| 2032 | 1,380,000 | 158,319 | 670,000 | 78,400 | 600,000 | 100,625 | 590,000 | 112,350 | 570,000 | 128,800 | 555,000 | 144,725 | 540,000 | 160,125 | 525,000 | 175,000 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 8,406 | 6,496,750 |
| 2033 | 1,420,000 | 121,644 | 545,000 | 54,950 | 520,000 | 79,625 | 550,000 | 91,700 | 530,000 | 108,850 | 515,000 | 125,300 | 500,000 | 141,225 | 485,000 | 156,625 | 480,000 | 175,000 | --- | -- | 8,506 | 6,608,425 |
| 2034 | 1,465,000 | 82,444 | 565,000 | 35,875 | 540,000 | 61,425 | 530,000 | 72,450 | 510,000 | 90,300 | 495,000 | 107,275 | 475,000 | 123,725 | 460,000 | 139,650 | 500,000 | 158,200 | -- | 175,000 | 8,606 | 6,594,950 |
| 2035 | 1,500,000 | 41,100 | 460,000 | 16,100 | 560,000 | 42,525 | 545,000 | 53,900 | 530,000 | 72,450 | 510,000 | 89,950 | 495,000 | 107,100 | 480,000 | 123,550 | -- | 140,700 | -- | 175,000 | 8,706 | 5,951,081 |
| 2036 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 655,000 | 22,925 | 565,000 | 34,825 | 545,000 | 53,900 | 530,000 | 72,100 | 510,000 | 89,775 | 495,000 | 106,750 | 515,000 | 140,700 | 550,000 | 175,000 | 8,806 | 5,069,781 |
| 2037 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | 430,000 | 15,050 | 565,000 | 34,825 | 550,000 | 53,550 | 530,000 | 71,925 | 510,000 | 89,425 | 535,000 | 122,675 | 570,000 | 155,750 | 8,906 | 4,242,106 |
| 2038 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 430,000 | 15,050 | 565,000 | 34,300 | 550,000 | 53,375 | 530,000 | 71,575 | 555,000 | 103,950 | 590,000 | 135,800 | 9,006 | 3,643,056 |
| 2039 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | 415,000 | 14,525 | 565,000 | 34,125 | 550,000 | 53,025 | 575,000 | 84,525 | 610,000 | 115,150 | 9,106 | 3,025,456 |
| 2040 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 410,000 | 14,350 | 565,000 | 33,775 | 595,000 | 64,400 | 635,000 | 93,800 | 9,206 | 2,420,531 |
| 2041 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 400,000 | 14,000 | 615,000 | 43,575 | 655,000 | 71,575 | 9,306 | 1,808,456 |
| 2042 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 630,000 | 22,050 | 680,000 | 48,650 | 9,406 | 1,390,106 |
| 2043 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | 710,000 | 24,850 | 9,506 | 744,356 |
| 2044 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |

## 10-year Annual Borrowings (chart - projection)

$\square$ Borrowing $\$ 5.0$ million annually allows aggregate annual debt service to stay at $\$ 6.55$ million

Existing D.S. Lewy $\mathbf{+} \mathbf{5 5 . 0}$ million Annual Borrowing (2025-2033)


## 1-year Annual Borrowings (chart - existing)

$\square$ Sharp drop in existing debt service exists between years 2024-2025


## 1-year Annual Borrowings (table)

$\square$ Annual capital needs going forward are estimated to average approximately \$1,325,000


## 1-year Annual Borrowings (chart - projected)

$\square$ Borrowing $\$ 1.325$ million annually allows aggregate debt service to stay at $\$ 2.325$ million

Existing D.S. Levy + 1-year Annual Borrowing (2024-2033)


## Multiple 20-year Borrowings (chart - existing)

$\square$ County has little existing debt but has a very large upcoming building project


## Multiple 20-year Borrowings (table)

A $\$ 60,000,00$ building project has a projected three $\$ 20,000,000$ borrowings

|  | Debt Service Levy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget / Calendar Year | Existin <br> Levy Sup <br> Debt Se <br> Principal | Interest | $\begin{array}{r} 2024 \mathrm{~B} \\ 20,000,000 \mathrm{P} \\ 4.00 \% \mathrm{R} \end{array}$ <br> Principal | Interest | $\begin{array}{r} 2025 \mathrm{~B} \\ 20,000,000 \mathrm{P} \\ 4.00 \% \mathrm{R} \end{array}$ <br> Principal | Interest | $\begin{array}{r} 2026 \mathrm{~B} \\ 20,000,000 \mathrm{P} \\ 4.00 \% \mathrm{R} \\ \\ \text { Principal } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Interest | Gross Debt Service | Less: <br> Debt <br> Service <br> Transfer <br> In | Debt Service Levy |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 506,020 | $(231,700)$ | 274,320 |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 502,170 | $(227,700)$ | 274,470 |
| 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 583,869 | $(228,650)$ | 355,219 |
| 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 606,419 | $(229,500)$ | 376,919 |
| 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 687,971 | $(224,145)$ | 463,826 |
| 2023 | 790,352 | 103,859 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 894,210 | -- | 894,210 |
| 2024 | 792,000 | 102,828 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 894,828 | -- | 894,828 |
| 2025 | 390,000 | 80,988 | 725,000 | 800,000 |  |  |  |  | 1,995,988 | -- | 1,995,988 |
| 2026 | 170,000 | 72,863 | 750,000 | 771,000 | 725,000 | 800,000 |  |  | 3,288,863 | -- | 3,288,863 |
| 2027 | 180,000 | 65,863 | 780,000 | 741,000 | 750,000 | 771,000 | 725,000 | 800,000 | 4,812,863 | -- | 4,812,863 |
| 2028 | 185,000 | 58,563 | 815,000 | 709,800 | 780,000 | 741,000 | 750,000 | 771,000 | 4,810,363 | -- | 4,810,363 |
| 2029 | 190,000 | 52,013 | 845,000 | 677,200 | 815,000 | 709,800 | 780,000 | 741,000 | 4,810,013 | -- | 4,810,013 |
| 2030 | 200,000 | 46,163 | 880,000 | 643,400 | 845,000 | 677,200 | 815,000 | 709,800 | 4,816,563 | -- | 4,816,563 |
| 2031 | 205,000 | 41,113 | 915,000 | 608,200 | 880,000 | 643,400 | 845,000 | 677,200 | 4,814,913 | -- | 4,814,913 |
| 2032 | 205,000 | 37,013 | 950,000 | 571,600 | 915,000 | 608,200 | 880,000 | 643,400 | 4,810,213 | -- | 4,810,213 |
| 2033 | 210,000 | 32,863 | 990,000 | 533,600 | 950,000 | 571,600 | 915,000 | 608,200 | 4,811,263 | -- | 4,811,263 |
| 2034 | 215,000 | 28,478 | 1,030,000 | 494,000 | 990,000 | 533,600 | 950,000 | 571,600 | 4,812,678 | -- | 4,812,678 |
| 2035 | 220,000 | 23,856 | 1,070,000 | 452,800 | 1,030,000 | 494,000 | 990,000 | 533,600 | 4,814,256 | -- | 4,814,256 |
| 2036 | 225,000 | 18,988 | 1,115,000 | 410,000 | 1,070,000 | 452,800 | 1,030,000 | 494,000 | 4,815,788 | -- | 4,815,788 |
| 2037 | 230,000 | 13,869 | 1,155,000 | 365,400 | 1,115,000 | 410,000 | 1,070,000 | 452,800 | 4,812,069 | -- | 4,812,069 |
| 2038 | 235,000 | 8,491 | 1,205,000 | 319,200 | 1,155,000 | 365,400 | 1,115,000 | 410,000 | 4,813,091 | -- | 4,813,091 |
| 2039 | 240,000 | 2,850 | 1,250,000 | 271,000 | 1,205,000 | 319,200 | 1,155,000 | 365,400 | 4,808,450 | -- | 4,808,450 |
| 2040 | -- | -- | 1,300,000 | 221,000 | 1,250,000 | 271,000 | 1,205,000 | 319,200 | 4,566,200 | -- | 4,566,200 |
| 2041 | -- | -- | 1,355,000 | 169,000 | 1,300,000 | 221,000 | 1,250,000 | 271,000 | 4,566,000 | -- | 4,566,000 |
| 2042 | -- | -- | 1,410,000 | 114,800 | 1,355,000 | 169,000 | 1,300,000 | 221,000 | 4,569,800 | -- | 4,569,800 |
| 2043 | -- | -- | 1,460,000 | 58,400 | 1,410,000 | 114,800 | 1,355,000 | 169,000 | 4,567,200 | -- | 4,567,200 |
| 2044 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1,460,000 | 58,400 | 1,410,000 | 114,800 | 3,043,200 | -- | 3,043,200 |
| 2045 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1,460,000 | 58,400 | 1,518,400 | -- | 1,518,400 |
| 2046 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- |  |

## Multiple 20-year Borrowings (chart)

Phased borrowing approach will allow for a 3-year step-up in debt service levy and then level


## Multiple 20-year Borrowings (Legal Debt Limit)

$\square$ Wisconsin State Statute limits a County's G.O. debt to $5.0 \%$ of equalized value
$\square$ Issuing $\$ 60,000,000$ will still allow this County be at approximately half of its legal debt capacity


## Investing Bonds Proceeds (Invest \% > Borrow \%)

$\square$ A reasonable estimate for a County's 20-year tax-exempt borrowing rate is $4.00 \%$
$\square$ Current short-term investment rates are substantially higher than 4.00\%
$\square$ If the County meets certain IRS spend down tests, it can keep any "excess" earnings

Estimated Borrowing Rate vs NET U.S. Treasury Yields (1 month to 36 month)


[^0]
## Financing Considerations for Capital Projects

From "Financing Considerations for Capital Projects" Wisconsin Counties Magazine


## Benefits of debt financing

Wisconsin counties have a variety of capital needs and financial resources, so it should come as no surprise that use of debt can vary greatly. Some counties issue tens of millions of dollars of debt annually as a matter of course while others only issue debt when there is a major building project to finance. If used prudently, debt is an invaluable tool for maintaining a county's wide range of physical assets. Benefits of utilizing debt include:

Wisconsin's levy limits - A county can increase its levy by the increase in its general obligation debt service requirement.

Construction cost inflation - The long-term, tax-exempt borrowing rate has traditionally been, and continues to be, lower than the rate of construction cost inflation.

Generational equity - Many capital assets have useful lives measured in decades. Levying for debt service creates a closer alignment between those who benefit from the asset and those who are paying for the asset.

Optimal life-cycle decisions - Debt financing can be used to better maintain assets, which provides for overall lower life-cycle costs.

Managing the levy - A comprehensive capital and financial plan will smooth out impacts to the overall levy associated with financing capital projects.
II. Best Practices for Selling Bonds

## GFOA Best Practices - Five Part Series on Bonds

The Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA), has over 20,000 members and its mission is to advance excellence in public finance.

## Sale of Bonds - Best Practices

There are five BPs related to the sale of bonds and they should be read and considered in conjunction with each other because of the interaction of the processes to which they apply.

1. Selecting and Managing the Method of Sale of Municipal Bonds

Selecting and Managing Municipal Advisors
3. Selecting Bond Counsel
4. Selecting Underwriters for Negotiated Bond Sales
5. Pricing Bonds in a Negotiated Sale
(69)

State and local governments engage municipal advisors to assist in the structuring and issuance of bonds whether through a competitive or a negotiated sale process.

GFOA recommends that issuers hire a municipal advisor prior to the undertaking of a debt financing unless the issuer has sufficient in-house expertise and access to current bond market information.

## Financial Planning \& Underwriting Services

When a governmental entity accesses the capital markets for a debt issuance there will be:
$\square$ Financial Planning and Debt Transaction Management Services
$\square$ Municipal Underwriting Services


Some issuers will use a Municipal Broker-Dealer to provide the above services.

GFOA Best Practices state that these services should be performed by separate companies.
Municipal Advisor
$\square$ Municipal Underwriter

## Financial Planning / Debt Transaction Management

Duties and responsibilities associated with Financial Planning and Debt Transaction Management.

## Financial Planning

## Understand Existing Position

- Review Financial and Debt Management policies
- Historical credit analysis utilizing rating agency models
- Model existing debt service by expected source of repayment


## Identify Capital Needs

- Current year capital needs
- Projections of future capital needs


## Evaluate Financing Mechanisms

- Sources of funding (Capital Markets, PP, State Loans)
- Credit pledges (G.O., Revenue, CDA Lease Revenue)


## Model Financing Alternatives

- Model different debt structures
- Model different future years borrowing amounts
- Project debt service by expected source of repayment
- Project impact to required revenues (Levy, Enterprise Funds)
- Project impact to fund and cash balances


## Presentation to Policymakers

- Present existing position, alternatives considered, recommended plan, impact of current borrowing, and projected impact of future borrowings
A comprehensive, long-term financial plan that is regularly updated


## Debt Transaction Management

## Determine how the Underwriter will be selected

- Pre-select the Underwriter, vs.
- Determine Underwriter on the day of sale


## Manage the Process from Start to Finish

- Create a calendar of events
- Coordinate with other financing team members
- Attend Board meetings
- Develop a rating agency strategy
- Draft the POS for financing team review
- Determine structure, call provision, etc.
- Determine allow able coupon ranges
- Monitor market conditions and other sales
- Marketing of the debt
- Advocate for Issuer's interest with Underwriter
- Sign off on final pricing
- Draft the Final OS for financing team review
- Coordinate settlement
- Prepare post-sale analysis


## Municipal Underwriting

Duties and responsibilities associated with Municipal Underwriting.

## Municipal Underwriting

$\square$ An Underwriter's primary role is to purchase securities from the Issuer and resell the securities to investors.
$\square$ An Underwriter has a duty to purchase securities from the Issuer at a fair and reasonable price but must balance that duty with its duty to sell municipal securities to investors at prices that are fair and reasonable.

## Issuer

Wants Lower Rates Sells Bonds Once a Year


## Investor

Wants Higher Rates
Buys Bonds Weekly
$\square$ Without representation, the Issuer is a disadvantage in these negotiations.

## Municipal Advisor Tips Scale to County's Advantage

The County can tip the scales in its favor by hiring a qualified, independent Municipal Advisor to perform Financial Planning and Debt Transaction Management services. This separates advice from underwriting services.

## Municipal Advisor

A Municipal Advisor is an advocate for its County clients' interests.
$\square$ A Municipal Advisor owes its clients a fiduciary duty when performing municipal advisory activities. (duty of loyalty and a duty of care)
$\square$ Municipal Advisor can insert competition and transparency into the Underwriter selection process, which drives down the interest rate that investors receive (Competitive Sale).


## Issuing Bonds Ex. No. 1: Without Municipal Advisor

## Below exhibit represents a Negotiated Sale. Underwriter is Pre-Selected by County. No competition between Underwriters. No transparency on rates.


(1) County sells its Bonds to the Underwriter, and the Underwriter resells the Bonds to the Investors.
(2) The County signs one contract with the Underwriter for both (i) Investment Banking, and (ii) Underwriting services.
(3) The Investment Banker provides financial planning and debt management services to the County.
(4) The Investment Banker directs the sale of the County's Bonds to its own Underwriting Desk.

## Issuing Bonds Ex. No. 2: With Municipal Advisor

Below exhibit represents a Competitive Public Sale. Underwriter determined by Competitive Bidding Process. Creates a larger investor pool. WI Statutes Require this method of sale for General Obligation Bonds (see slide 39)

Issuer


Underwriter Pool


| Sales Rep. 1 |
| :--- |
| Sales Rep. 2 |
| Sales Rep. 3 |
| Sales Rep. 4 |

Investor Pool

(1) County sells its Bonds to the Underwriter, and the Underwriter resells the Bonds to the Investors.
(2) The County signs two contracts, one with the Municipal Advisor and one with the winning Underwriter.
(3) The Municipal Advisor provides financial planning and debt management services to the County.
(4) The Municipal Advisor conducts a Competitive Sale on the County's behalf to find the Underwriting Desk that offers the lowest rate.

## Can't Predict What Underwriter Will Make Best Bid

During 2022, Wisconsin counties contracted with Municipal Advisors to conduct a competitive public bidding of their debt issues 29 times.

There were 12 different winning Municipal Underwriters, located throughout the country.


## WI County Capital Market Summary (2017-2022)

Since 2017, $\underline{73 \%}$ of Wisconsin counties used a Municipal Advisor on their debt issues.


255 Wisconsin county debt issues (2017-2022)

## Who Do You Want to Receive the $0.20 \%$ ?

## PMA’s WI Counties Annual Capital Markets Review

On an annual basis, PMA creates a Wisconsin Counties Capital Market Debt Year in Review, which is emailed to at least one county staff member at all 72 counties.

The presentation includes a detailed review of all the county capital market debt issues for the year and summarizes several years of data for perspective.


Municipal bonds have a variety of characteristics that include: credit pledge, credit rating, maturity, coupon, call
provision size etc. Having so many different variables can make comparing yields (or prices) between different issues difficilt, but

| Variale | Issue No. 1 | Issue No. 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| issuer stare | Wisconsin | Wisconsin |
| Issuer Type | County | City |
| Credit Pledge | General obigation | General obligation |
| Credit Rating | Moody's Aa2 | Moody's Aaz |
| Tax 5 tatus | Tax-Exempt (Bank Qualfified) | Tax-Exempt (Bank Qualified) |
| Par Amount | \$9,930,000 | \$3,860,000 |
| Sale Date <br> Principal Matures <br> Call Provision | Thursday, November 3, 2022 October 1, 2023-2042 Tuesday October 1, 2030 | Monday, November 7, 2022 October 1, 2023-2042 Tuesday, October 1, 203 |
| Municipal Advisor Utilized Underwriter Selection | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Pre-selected } / \text { Negotiated Sale } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { Yes }}{\substack{\text { remper } \\ \text { Competive } \\ \text { Public sale }}}$ |

The 2022 Year in Review included a detailed apples-to-apples case study comparing one issuer that followed GFOA Best Practices (hired Municipal Advisor and held Competitive Sale), vs a County that didn't (No Municipal Advisor, Direct Sale, No Underwriter Competition).

* A PDF copy or a link to a video presentation of the 2022 year in review presentation are available by emailing bdella@pmanetwork.com


## How much is $0.20 \%$ Worth on a Bond Sale?

When a County issues 20-year general obligation bonds, it is very reasonable to assume that there is $0.20 \%$ ( 20 basis points) of rate that is "in play".

For example:
$\square 3.80 \%$ (well executed bond sale)
$\square$ 4.00\% (poorly executed bond sale)

This $0.20 \%$ can be quantified into dollars and these dollars will be allocated!

Because of the unique nature of municipal bond pricing, there are two ways these dollars are calculated.

County elected officials and staff, must decide if it wants its taxpayers to retain the $0.20 \%$, or would the County rather give this money to investors.

## Ex No. 1: County Pays Higher Interest Expense

Below shows difference in interest expense for bond issues that generate the same amount of proceeds on the day of sale, but have interest calculated at $3.80 \%$ and $4.00 \%$.

Hypothetical Well Executed Bonds Sale (3.80\%)

| Borrow | Coupon |  | Rate | Future <br> Interest |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: |
| $\$ 10,000,000$ | $3.80 \%$ | $=$ | $3.80 \%$ | $\$ 4,453,220$ |
| $20,000,000$ | $3.80 \%$ | $=$ | $3.80 \%$ | $8,906,440$ |
| $30,000,000$ | $3.80 \%$ | $=$ | $3.80 \%$ | $13,359,660$ |
| $40,000,000$ | $3.80 \%$ | $=$ | $3.80 \%$ | $17,812,880$ |
| $50,000,000$ | $3.80 \%$ | $=$ | $3.80 \%$ | $22,266,100$ |

Hypothetical Poorly Executed Bonds Sale (4.00\%)

| Borrow | Coupon |  | Rate | Future <br> Interest | Increase in <br> Future Interest | Present Value <br> Interest @ 3.80\% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 10,000,000$ | $4.00 \%$ | $=$ | $4.00 \%$ | $\$ 4,713,600$ | $\$ 260,380$ | $\$ 180,635$ |
| $20,000,000$ | $4.00 \%$ | $=$ | $4.00 \%$ | $9,427,200$ | 520,760 | 361,270 |
| $30,000,000$ | $4.00 \%$ | $=$ | $4.00 \%$ | $14,140,800$ | 781,140 | 541,905 |
| $40,000,000$ | $4.00 \%$ | $=$ | $4.00 \%$ | $18,854,400$ | $1,041,520$ | 722,540 |
| $50,000,000$ | $4.00 \%$ | $=$ | $4.00 \%$ | $23,568,000$ | $1,301,900$ | 903,175 |

[^1]
## Ex No. 2: County Gets Less Proceeds at Issuance

Below shows difference in gross proceeds on the day of sale for bond issues that pay the same amount of interest over the life of the bond issue.

Hypothetical Well Executed Bonds Sale (3.80\%)

| Borrow |  |  | Gross <br> Proceeds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 10,000,000$ | $4.00 \%$ | $>$ | $3.80 \%$ | $\$ 10,139,233$ |
| $20,000,000$ | $4.00 \%$ | $>$ | $3.80 \%$ | $20,278,465$ |
| $30,000,000$ | $4.00 \%$ | $>$ | $3.80 \%$ | $30,417,698$ |
| $40,000,000$ | $4.00 \%$ | $>$ | $3.80 \%$ | $40,556,930$ |
| $50,000,000$ | $4.00 \%$ | $>$ | $3.80 \%$ | $50,696,163$ |

Hypothetical Poorly Executed Bonds Sale (4.00\%)

| Borrow | Coupon | Rate | Gross <br> Proceeds | Reduction in <br> Gross Proceeds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 10,000,000$ | $4.00 \%$ | $=$ | $4.00 \%$ | $\$ 10,000,000$ | $\$ 139,233$ |
| $20,000,000$ | $4.00 \%$ | $=$ | $4.00 \%$ | $20,000,000$ | 278,465 |
| $30,000,000$ | $4.00 \%$ | $=$ | $4.00 \%$ | $30,000,000$ | 417,698 |
| $40,000,000$ | $4.00 \%$ | $=$ | $4.00 \%$ | $40,000,000$ | 556,930 |
| $50,000,000$ | $4.00 \%$ | $=$ | $4.00 \%$ | $50,000,000$ | 696,163 |

(1) Level annual payments over 20 years with a 10-year prepayment provision.
(2) A reduction in gross proceeds is already in present value terms.

## Comparison of 0.20\% in Interest vs. Proceeds

Below are the present value dollars "in play" from the previous two slides based on the size of the debt issue and assuming a $0.20 \%$ rate difference between well executed and poorly executed.

Cost to County of Issuing 20 -year Bonds with $0.20 \%$ Worse Pricing


[^2]
## County Official Deciding Where to Allocate the 0.20\%



## IV. What are Anticipation Notes?

## General Obligation Notes and Bonds

The majority of Wisconsin counties finance their projects directly with either:
$\square$ General Obligation Notes
$\square$ General Obligation Bonds

## General Obligation Notes

Must mature within 10 years
$\square$ State of Wisconsin does not prescribe the method of sale (either competitive or negotiated OK)

## General Obligation Bonds

Final maturity limited to 20 years (although there are exceptions)
$\square$ A permissive referendum is possible, but only for certain projects (highways, bridges, railroad, memorials)
$\square$ State of Wisconsin prescribes the method of sale (competitive sale is required per Chapter 67.08(2))

In other words, State Statutes prohibit Issuers of General Obligation Bonds from preselecting the municipal underwriter.

## Interim Financing with Anticipation Notes

Some Wisconsin counties will finance a large building project with Anticipation Notes.
$\square$ Note Anticipation Notes (NANs)
$\square$ Bond Anticipation Notes (BANs)

Which are often refinanced within a few months with long-term (20-year) G.O. Refunding Bonds.

## Common Question:

$\square$ Why issue debt twice within the span of a few months when you could have just issued once?

## Common Answers:

$\square$ In the absence of a Municipal Advisor, the County has been advised to use Anticipation Notes.
$\square$ There are no statutory requirements on the method of sale for G.O. refunding bonds.
$\square$ This allows the County to preselect its Municipal Underwriting firm on long-term G.O. debt.

State statute was designed to limit this behavior, and GFOA Best Practices related to the sale of bonds advises against it, yet it does still occur.

## 2022 Capital Markets Review / Case Study: NAN-Flip

The case study included in the 2022 Wisconsin Counties Capital Market Debt Year in Review showed how a poorly executed debt issue could enrich investors (i.e., cost taxpayers) $0.20 \%$.

In addition to the County not hiring a Municipal Advisor nor conducting a competitive sale, the County issued Anticipation Notes for 62 days before refinancing with 20-year G.O. refunding bonds.

## Case Study: Negotiated vs. Competitive Sale of Bonds

Wisconsin Statues requires "general obligation bonds" to be sold via a competitive public sale.
One way for a Wisconsin county to both (i) lock in 20-year general obligation financing and (ii) sell with a preselected municipal underwriter (e.g., a negotiated sale) is the two-step "NAN-Flip" as illustrated below.


There are no statutory requirements on the method of sale for general obligation refunding bonds.

## V. Summary

## Summary

$\square$ Each county's capital and debt structure are unique, thus requiring customized solutions
$\square$ Bond Proceeds Management is very important in the current interest rate environment
$\square$ GFOA Best Practices indicate Wisconsin counties should hire a Municipal Advisor (73\% do)
$\square$ GFOA Best Practices encourage Competitive Sales for Wisconsin county general obligation debt
$\square \quad$ Wisconsin Statute's require general obligation Bonds to be sold via a Competitive Sale process
$\square$ Anticipation Notes have been used to avoid the Statutory Competitive Sale requirement
$\square$ PMA has demonstrated that there is a range of $0.20 \%$ on 20 -year bond issues
$\square$ County officials and staff will determine who gets the $0.20 \%$
$\square$ Buyers of the Bonds?
$\square$ County Taxpayers?


This presentation has been prepared by PMA Securities, LLC for informational and educational purposes to units of local government without regard to any particular entity's investment objectives, financial situation or means. The content of this presentation is notto be construed as a recommendation, solicitation or offer to engage in an issuance, or to buy or sell any security, financial product or instrument, or to participate in any particular trading strategy in any jurisdiction in which such an offer or solicitation, or trading strategy would be illegal. Nor does it constitute any legal, tax, accounting or investment advice of services regarding the suitability or profitability of any security or investment. PMA and its employees do not offer tax or legal advice and any entity should consult with its own tax and/or legal advisors before making anytax or legal related investment decisions.

Although the information contained in this presentation has been obtained from third-party sources believed to be reliable, PMA cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information. It is understood that PMA is not responsible for any errors or omissions in the content in this document and the information is being provided to you on an "as is" basis without warranties or representations of any kind. The analysis or information presented in this presentation is based upon current market conditions which are subject to change. There is no guarantee that the projected yield will be realized and the actual yield will depend on the available investment product and market conditions at the time of investment.

This presentation is solely intended to suggest/discuss potentially applicable financing applications or investment strategies. Any terms discussed herein are preliminary until confirmed in a definitive written agreement. Although market value, market analytics and other information contained in this presentation have been obtained from third-party sources believed to be reliable, PMA cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information. No representation is made that any results indicated herein will be achieved. Changes to any prices, levels, or assumptions contained herein may have a material impact on results. Any estimates or assumptions contained herein represent our bestjudgment as of the date indicated and are subjectto change withoutnotice. Examples are merely representative and are not meant to be all-inclusive. All investments mentioned herein may have varying levels of risk, and may not be suitable for every investor. Investment in securities involves risks, including the possible loss of the amount invested. In addition, past performance is no indication of future results and the price or value of investments may fluctuate. Asset allocation does not assure or guarantee better performance and cannot eliminate the risk of investmentlosses.

Securities, public finance services and institutional and municipal advisory brokerage services are offered through PMA Securities, LLC. PMA Securities, LLC is a broker-dealer and municipal advisor registered with the SEC and MSRB, and is a member of FINRA and SIPC. PMA Asset Management, LLC, an SEC registered investment adviser, provides investment advisory services to local government investment pools and separate accounts. All other products and services are provided by PMA Financial Network, LLC. PMA Financial Network, LLC, PMA Securities, LLC, and PMA AssetManagement, LLC (collectively "PMA") are under common ownership.
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[^1]:    (1) Level annual payments over 20 years with a 10-year prepayment provision

[^2]:     berrutilin the purthou prise.

